



Course Specification

— (Bachelor)

Course Title: **Design & Analysis of Algorithm**

Course Code: **COMP-322**

Program: **Bachelor in Computer Science**

Department: **Computer Science**

College **College of Engineering & Computer Science**

Institution: **Jazan University**

Version: **V2**

Last Revision Date: **08-MAY-2024**

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| A. General information about the course | 3 |
| B. Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs), Teaching Strategies and Assessment Methods | 4 |
| C. Course Content | 5 |
| D. Students Assessment Activities..... | 6 |
| E. Learning Resources and Facilities | 6 |
| F. Assessment of Course Quality | 7 |
| G. Specification Approval | 7 |



A. General information about the course:

1. Course Identification

1. Credit hours:

2. Course type

- A. ☐ University ☐ College ☐ Department ☐ Track ☐ Others
- B. ☒ Required ☐ Elective

3. Level/year at which this course is offered: Level 6 /Year 3

4. Course general Description:

This course provides to the students a techniques for designing and analyzing algorithms such as brute-force, divide-and-conquer, decrease-and-conquer, Space and Time Trade Off(Boyer Moore Algorithm and Horspool Algorithm); They acquire some understanding on design techniques and algorithms that address an important set of well-defined problems: DFS and BFS shortest-path algorithms (Dijkstra's and Floyd's algorithms); transitive closure (Floyd's algorithm); minimum spanning tree (Prim's and Kruskal's algorithms); topological sort. In addition, the course will provide different complexity characteristics P and NP classes, NP completeness and reduction techniques.

5. Pre-requirements for this course (if any): 321-COMP-3 (Data Structures and Algorithms)

6. Pre-requirements for this course (if any):

7. Course Main Objective(s):

1. Describe the notion of algorithms, fundamental of algorithm solving and data structures.
2. Analyze different design strategies of algorithms like divide-and-conquer, decrease-and conquer, Space and Time Trade off etc.
3. Demonstrate a familiarity with major algorithms and data structures.
4. Apply important algorithmic design paradigms and methods of analysis.
5. Explain how to discover the limitation of algorithm power as P, NP and NP-complete problem



2. Teaching mode (mark all that apply)

| No | Mode of Instruction | Contact Hours | Percentage |
|----|--|---------------|------------|
| 1 | Traditional classroom | 60 | 100% |
| 2 | E-learning | | |
| 3 | Hybrid <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traditional classroom E-learning | | |
| 4 | Distance learning | | |

3. Contact Hours (based on the academic semester)

| No | Activity | Contact Hours |
|-------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Lectures | 28 |
| 2. | Laboratory/Studio | 28 |
| 3. | Field | |
| 4. | Tutorial | |
| 5. | Others (specify) | 4 |
| Total | | 60 |

B. Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs), Teaching Strategies and Assessment Methods

| Code | Course Learning Outcomes | Code of CLOs aligned with program | Teaching Strategies | Assessment Methods |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 1.0 | Knowledge and understanding | | | |
| 1.1 | Define the basics concepts of algorithmic analysis, data structure, asymptotic notations and efficiency classes | K1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lectures/Presentations Media Lectures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Midterm Exam Assignment1 Final Theory Exam |
| 1.2 | Describe the fundamentals of algorithmic design paradigms. | K1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lectures/Presentations Media Lectures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Midterm Exam Assignment1 Final Theory Exam |



| Code | Course Learning Outcomes | Code of CLOs aligned with program | Teaching Strategies | Assessment Methods |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 2.0 | Skills | | | |
| 2.1 | Analyze the appropriate algorithm design techniques for solving problems. | S1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lectures/Presentations Media Lectures | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assignment – 1 Group Assignment Final Theory Exam Final Lab |
| 2.2 | Implement and Evaluate the existing algorithms for a wide variety of problems. | S3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lectures /Presentations Media Lectures Tutorials Lab Demonstration | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Group Assignment Final Theory Exam Final Lab Exam |
| 3.0 | Values, autonomy, and responsibility | | | |
| 3.1 | Demonstrate the ability to work as a team member for analyzing, developing and evaluating an algorithm for the given problems | V2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Group Discussion | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Group Assignment |

C. Course Content

| No | List of Topics | Contact Hours |
|----|--|---------------|
| 1. | Introduction: Notion of Algorithm, Fundamentals of Algorithmic Problem Solving, Exercises | 2T+2P |
| 2. | Fundamentals of the Analysis of Algorithm Efficiency: Analysis Framework, Asymptotic Notations and Basic Efficiency Classes, Mathematical Analysis of Non-recursive Algorithms, Mathematical Analysis of Recursive Algorithms, Exercises | 4T+4P |
| 3. | Brute Force & Exhaustive Search: Selection Sort and Bubble Sort, Sequential Search & Brute-Force String Matching, Closest-Pair problem and Convex-Hull Problems, Exhaustive Search, Knapsack Problem, Travel Salesman Problem, Assignment Problem | 4T+4P |
| 4. | Decrease-and-Conquer: Variation in decrease and Conquer, Insertion Sort, Topological Sorting, Decrease-by-a-Constant-Factor Algorithms, Binary Search, Exercises | 2T+2P |



| | | |
|--------------|--|----------------|
| 5. | Divide-and-Conquer: Divide & Conquer Technique, Master Theorem Merge Sort, Quick Sort, Exercises. Transform and Conquer technique: Major variations of transform & conquer Gaussian Elimination, Horner Rule, Exercises | 6T+6P |
| 6. | Space and Time Trade off: Input enhancement in String matching, Horspool's Algorithm, Shift table Algorithm, Horspool matching algorithm, Boyer-Moore Algorithm, Exercises | 4T+4P |
| 7. | Dynamic Programming: Dynamic Programming Technique, Warshall's and Floyd's Algorithms, Exercises | 2T+2P |
| 8. | Greedy Techniques: Prim's Algorithm, Huffman Trees and Codes, Exercises Kruskal's Algorithm, Dijkstra's Algorithm, Exercises Limitations of Algorithm Power: Class P, NP, and NP-complete problem. Reduction. | 4T+4P |
| Total | | 28T+28P |

D. Students Assessment Activities

| No | Assessment Activities * | Assessment timing (in week no) | Percentage of Total Assessment Score |
|----|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. | Assignment-1 | 5 th Week | 10% |
| 2. | Mid Exam | 9 th Week | 15% |
| 3. | Group Assignment | 11 th Week | 15% |
| 4. | Lab Assignment | 11 th Week | 10% |
| 5. | Final Lab Exam | As per schedule | 10% |
| 6. | Final Theory Exam | As per schedule | 40% |

*Assessment Activities (i.e., Written test, or al test, oral presentation, group project, essay, etc.).

E. Learning Resources and Facilities

1. References and Learning

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Essential References | Introduction to Algorithms, MIT Press, Third Edition, Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E Leiserson, and Ronald Rivest ,2009, ISBN13 : 978-0262033848 |
| Supportive References | |
| Electronic Materials | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • https://www.javatpoint.com/daa-tutorial • https://www.academia.edu/38287655/Design_and_analysis • https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/6-046j-design-and-analysis-of-algorithms-spring-2015/ |
| Other Learning Materials | Online Tutorials |



2. Required Facilities and equipment

| Items | Resources |
|---|--|
| facilities (Classrooms, laboratories, exhibition rooms, simulation rooms, etc.) | Classroom equipped with projector, whiteboard, and sufficient seating arrangements. Lab with software installed and individual computer terminal for each student. |
| Technology equipment (projector, smart board, software) | Whiteboards and projectors for classroom and lab Following software for lab work: NetBeans IDE 8.2 |
| Other equipment (depending on the nature of the specialty) | None |

F. Assessment of Course Quality

| Assessment Areas/Issues | Assessor | Assessment Methods |
|---|---------------------|--|
| Effectiveness of teaching | Students | Indirect (Course evaluation survey form) |
| Effectiveness of Students assessment | CRC / QAU / HoD | Direct (Course reports / result analysis) |
| Quality of learning resources | Track leaders / CRC | Indirect (Review, meetings and star rating with suggestions for further modification and improvements) |
| The extent to which CLOs have been achieved | CRC / QAU | Direct (CLO assessment template further verified at course coordinator, Track leader and QAU level) |
| Other | | |

Assessors (Students, Faculty, Program Leaders, Peer Reviewer, Others (specify))

Assessment Methods (Direct, Indirect)

G. Specification Approval

| | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| COUNCIL /COMMITTEE | DEPARTMENT COUNCIL |
| REFERENCE NO. | |
| DATE | |

